



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

mony unnecessary. *Donovan v. Boston & Maine R. Co.*, 158 Mass. 450; *Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Daniel*, 122 Ky. 256; *Firemen's Ins. Co. v. Seaboard, etc. Ry. Co.*, 138 N. C. 42. Copying weights from scale-tickets, since destroyed, has also been held sufficiently mechanical to dispense with the weigher's testimony. *Drumm-Flato Commission Co. v. Gerlach Bank*, 107 Mo. App. 426. Mere inferences from an established course of business have been relied on, out of mercantile necessity. *Fielder Bros. v. Collier*, 13 Ga. 496; *Continental Bank v. First Nat. Bank*, 108 Tenn. 374. But, whether or not such cases already encroach too far upon the hearsay rule, apparently none would justify admitting a dispatcher's sheet without his testimony, except where it constitutes an admission.

INSURANCE — GUARANTY INSURANCE — NATURE OF CONTRACT: RELATION TO CONTRACT OF SURETYSHIP. — The defendant company issued a contractor's bond guaranteeing the payment of all subcontractors. Certain subcontractors, to whose use the present action is brought, repeatedly extended the time of payment by agreement with the principal contractor. *Held*, that the defendant company is still liable on the bond for the principal contractor's obligations. *City of Philadelphia, to Use of Thompson v. Fidelity & Deposit Co. of Md.*, 80 Atl. 62 (Pa.).

For a discussion of the principles involved, see 24 HARV. L. REV. 568.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE — CONTROL BY STATES — PROHIBITION OF EXPORTATION OF NATURAL GAS. — An Oklahoma statute practically prohibited the transportation of natural gas, by means of pipe lines, out of the state, although domestic commerce was allowed. *Held*, that the statute is unconstitutional. *West v. Kansas Natural Gas Co.*, 31 Sup. Ct. 564; *Haskell v. Cowham*, 187 Fed. 403 (C. C. A., Eighth Circ.).

A state has no power to interfere directly with interstate commerce. *Pullman Co. v. Kansas ex rel. Coleman*, 216 U. S. 56. The absolute power of the State over its highways urged in support of the present statute, as a means of prohibiting the exportation of gas, must give way to the exclusive jurisdiction of Congress. That Congress has not legislated does not authorize the state to do so, for the original right to engage in interstate commerce, under the Constitution, can be regulated by Congress only. State laws prohibiting interstate commerce in game, however, have been sustained. *Geer v. Connecticut*, 161 U. S. 519; *New York ex rel. Silz v. Hesterberg*, 211 U. S. 31. A state statute prohibiting the taking of water from fresh-water streams to another state was held valid. *Hudson County Water Co. v. McCarter*, 209 U. S. 349. The right of the state to preserve its natural resources to itself would seem to be as great in the principal case. The interference with interstate commerce seems no more direct. But the nature of the state's property right in wild animals, and in streams, as compared with the complete private property right of the owner of natural gas reduced to possession differentiates the cases. See 25 HARV. L. REV. 76. The principal case seems clearly right. *State ex rel. Corwin v. Indiana & Ohio Oil, etc. Co.*, 120 Ind. 575.

JUDGMENTS — OPERATION AS BAR TO OTHER ACTIONS — DAMAGE TO PERSON AND PROPERTY CAUSED BY ONE NEGLIGENT ACT. — The plaintiff, while riding in his wagon, was struck by the defendant's trolley car and injured personally. His horse and wagon were also damaged, for which he recovered judgment. *Held*, that this does not bar an action for the personal injuries. *Ochs v. Public Service Ry. Co.*, 80 Atl. 495 (N. J., Ct. Err. and App.).

This case reverses the decision of the lower court, criticised in 24 HARV. L. REV. 492.

LANDLORD AND TENANT — REPAIR OF PREMISES — TORT LIABILITY OF LANDLORD. — The defendant leased premises to a tenant for purposes of public